UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,338	07/22/2003	Clayton M. Grondahl	GRON-0002	6985
23550 HOFFMAN WA	7590 01/22/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE STR		REESE, DAVID C		
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

Office Action Summary	10/624,338	GRONDAHI CLAYTON M				
Oπice Action Summary		GRONDAHL, CLAYTON M.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David C. Reese	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fire, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 C</u>	October 2008					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	=x parto Quayro, 1000 0.B. 11,	100 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-40</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>27-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-40</u> is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ne Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
	rammer. Note the attached on	100 / CHOT OF 101111 1 TO 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform: 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/624,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

THIS EX-PARTE ACTION IS RESPONSIVE TO THE AMENDMENT FILED 10/28/2008.

• Claims 1-26 were canceled.

- Claims 27-40 were added.
- Claims 27-40 are pending.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

[1] Claim 27 recites the limitation "the non-rotatable component" in the instant claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Reasons for Allowance

[2] The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. This application has been reviewed by the examiner and meets all formal (albeit that above) and substantive (i.e., statutory) requirements and the language of the claims is enabled by, and finds adequate descriptive supported in the application disclosure as originally filed.

The primary reason for the allowance of the claims is the presence of limitations in the independent claims, which are not found in the prior art references. The examiner believes that the record of the prosecution as a whole makes clear his reasons for allowing a claim or claims. However, the examiner would like to point out one or more specific reasons and/or limitations that the prior art fails to disclose and/or make obvious. Hence, with regard to independent claims 27 and 37, the prior art fails to disclose of the combination of a seal assembly, a rotatable component, (and non-rotatable component), the free portion arranged at an acute angle relative to the longitudinal axis of the rotatable component, a fixed portion that is angled relative to the free portion, a support for supporting the free portion from a radially inward position relative to the free portion and a mount portion for coupling to the non-rotatable component, wherein the free

Art Unit: 3677

portion contacts only a proximate end of the support portion in an unpressurized state and both the proximate end and a distal end of the support portion in a pressurized state of the leaf seal, the free portion being closer to the rotatable component during the pressurized state than in the unpressurized state.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

[3] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Reese whose telephone number is (571) 272-7082. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am-6:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached at (571) 272-6987. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is the following: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Reese

/D. C. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3677

/Victor Batson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3677